

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,282	10/25/2005	Alain Lecompte	0510-1126	2996
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 08/19/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			IBRAHIM, MEDINA AHMED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/554,282	LECOMPTE, ALAIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Medina A. Ibrahim	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>vl.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 10/25/05 and 23 April 10 Applicant may not request that any objection to the	er.  2009 is/are: a) accepted or b) ≥  drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/23/09 has been entered.

The declaration of Alain LeCompte under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 04/23/09 has been considered. The art rejection has been withdrawn in view of the declaration.

Claims 1-12 are pending and are examined.

#### **Drawings**

The Drawing are objected to because the contents of Figures 2-7 are either too dark or too light, therefore are not clear. Also, Figures 1 and 2 filed 04/23/09 are different from the Figures 1 and 2 filed 10/25/05. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1638

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

In this case, the specification is objected to for lacking parts (g), (h), and (K).

Art Unit: 1638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-7 and 12 are indefinite because the method steps are confusing and not in proper sequence. For example, claim 2 recites "d1" while step (d) is recited in claim 1; forcing step i) is recited in different claims 4, 5, 6 and 7. Appropriate correction is required to more clearly define the metes and bound of the claims.

Claims 1 and 4 are indefinite for reciting forcing conditions without specifying the content and concentrations of the nutriment solution; only temperature conditions are recited. The specification does not define what is encompassed by the nutriment solution. The claim is also indefinite for lacking a correlation between the preamble and the last method step. The last method step of claim 1 does not produce recombinant plants with tuberous roots.

The claims are indefinite in the recitation of "PPI", "GPI", "TFR and SCA" which are neither known in the prior art, nor clearly defined in the specification. The recitations are open to various interpretations, therefore, the metes and bounds of the claims are not known.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1638

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to a recombinant plant obtained by the method of claim 1, wherein the plant comprises (i) tuberous roots and (ii) indented leaves; belongs to class PPI or class GPI, or to class TFR and SCA and having some phenotype characteristics listed in the claims. The specification described a method of producing Cichorium hybrid by i) crossing *Cichorium intypus* with *Cichorium endivia* to obtain the F 1 hybrid; ii) growing the F1 plants up to a 3 leaf stage, followed by a 8 week phase of vernalization at 5°C to obtain the bolting ability; iii) bolting and self-fertilization in heated greenhouse to F2 seeds; iv) sowing the F2 in the field; selecting normal growing plants and removing abnormal plants; treating roots of the selected 60 g/hi of Procymidon and 400 g/hi of Mancozebe; storing roots while waiting for forcing in a refrigerator at 0°C; forcing for 14 day forcing at 16°C; after 8 weeks of vernalization, F2 disinfected plants were selected for self fertilization to F3 seed in heated greenhouse; v) steps ii-iv) were repeated until F4 descendents with no deficiencies in the field with good ability to forcing were obtained.

Art Unit: 1638

The recombinant Cichorium plants produced by the above method are described by phenotype only. The plants are not described by genotype. Neither the prior art nor the instant specification provides evidence that the genes responsible for the phenotypic characteristics that belongs to class PPI, GPI, TFR, and SCA are known. Therefore, since the plants are not described both phenotypic and genotypic characteristics, the written description requirement are not satisfied.

The Federal Circuit court stated that a written description of an invention "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula [or] chemical name, of the claimed subject matter sufficient to distinguish it from other material". *University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.*, 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997). The court also stated "naming a type of material generally known to exist, in the absence of knowledge as to what that material consists of is not a description of that material". <u>Id.</u> Therefore, given the lack of genotypic description of a recombinant Cichorium, one skilled in the art who reads the instant specification would not recognize that Applicant was in possession of the claimed progeny plants. Therefore, the written description requirement is not satisfied.

The Declaration of Alain Lecompte under 37 CFR 1.132 to obviate the art rejection has been considered and found to be persuasive. Therefore, the art rejection has been withdrawn.

#### Remarks

Art Unit: 1638

No claim is allowed.

### **Contact information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Medina A. Ibrahim whose telephone number is (571)272-0797. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00 am to 5:30 PM, and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAI 8/17/2009 /Medina A Ibrahim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638